

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,369 02/15/2002		02/15/2002	Olivier Fagebaume	3806.0459-01	9909
22852	7590	09/23/2003			
	۷, HENI	DERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER		
LLP 1300 I STRE	ET. NW	·	WEISBERGER, RICHARD C		
WASHINGT		20005			
	ŕ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					1
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	NX.
many "	_	10/075,36	9	FAGEBAUME ET AL.	10.
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
			Weisberger	3624	
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence addres	s
A SHORT THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT of time may be available under the provisions of 37) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical If or reply specified above, the maximum statutory apply within the set or extended period for reply will, be decived by the Office later than three months after the cent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. rs, a reply within the statuy period will apply and will apply apply and will apply apply apply apply apply and will apply app	ent, however, may a reply be tir story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)□ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed o	on			
2a)☐ Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)	★ This action is	non-final.		
clo	ice this application is in condition for sed in accordance with the practice (erits is
Disposition o					
•	m(s) $\underline{1}$ is/are pending in the applicat				
<u></u>	Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from cor	nsideration.		
·	m(s) is/are allowed.				
·	m(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.				
-	m(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∐ Clai Application F	m(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.		
	specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
<i>'</i> _	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		obiected to by the Exa	miner.	
	plicant may not request that any objectio	•	•		
11)∐ The ¡	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ ap	pproved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If a	pproved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Off	ice action.		
12) ☐ The d	oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ AI	l b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1.	Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have beer	n received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have beer	n received in Applicati	ion No	
3. <u></u> * See t	Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation he attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).	•	je
14)☐ Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
	The translation of the foreign langua				-
Attachment(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	F. 1. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	2.2.3.33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2) 🔲 Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	



Art Unit: 3624

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5270922. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,369

Art Unit: 3624

Respectfully

Ву,

Richard Weisberger

Telephone 703 308 4408

Email Richard.weisberger@uspto.gov